

RESEARCH

Open Access

CCL5 is essential for axonogenesis and neuronal restoration after brain injury

Man-Hau Ho^{1,2[†](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5608-814X)} \bullet [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0507-9243) Yih-Jeng Tsai^{3,4†} \bullet , Chia-Yen Chen^{[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6417-6994)} \bullet , Anastasia Yang^{2,5} \bullet , Thierry Burnouf^{6,7,8,9,10} \bullet , Yun Wang¹¹ **D**[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8426-4016) Yung-Hsiao Chiang^{6,12,13} **D**, Barry J. Hoffer^{1,2,14,1[5](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1122-9025) **D** and Szu-Yi Chou^{1,2,6,9,16[*](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4387-749X) **D**}}

Abstract

Background Traumatic brain injury (TBI) causes axon tearing and synapse degradation, resulting in multiple neurological dysfunctions and exacerbation of early neurodegeneration; the repair of axonal and synaptic structures is critical for restoring neuronal function. C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 5 (CCL5) shows many neuroprotective activities.

Method A close-head weight-drop system was used to induce mild brain trauma in C57BL/6 (wild-type, WT) and CCL5 knockout (CCL5-KO) mice. The mNSS score, rotarod, beam walking, and sticker removal tests were used to assay neurological function after mTBI in diferent groups of mice. The restoration of motor and sensory functions was impaired in CCL5-KO mice after one month of injury, with swelling of axons and synapses from Golgi staining and reduced synaptic proteins-synaptophysin and PSD95. Administration of recombinant CCL5 (Pre-treatment: 300 pg/g once before injury; or post-treatment: 30 pg/g every 2 days, since 3 days after injury for 1 month) through intranasal delivery into mouse brain improved the motor and sensory neurological dysfunctions in CCL5-KO TBI mice.

Results Proteomic analysis using LC-MS/MS identifed that the "Nervous system development and function"-related proteins, including axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and myelination signaling pathways, were reduced in injured cortex of CCL5-KO mice; both pre-treatment and post-treatment with CCL5 augmented those pathways. Immunostaining and western blot analysis confrmed axonogenesis and synaptogenesis related Semaphorin, Ephrin, p70S6/mTOR signaling, and myelination-related Neuregulin/ErbB and FGF/FAK signaling pathways were up-regulated in the cortical tissue by CCL5 after brain injury. We also noticed cortex redevelopment after long-term administration of CCL5 after brain injury with increased Reelin positive Cajal-Rerzius Cells and CXCR4 expression. CCL5 enhanced the growth of cone filopodia in a primary neuron culture system; blocking CCL5's receptor CCR5 by Maraviroc reduced the intensity of flopodia in growth cone and also CCL5 mediated mTOR and Rho signalling activation. Inhibiting mTOR and Rho signaling abolished CCL5 induced growth cone formation.

Conclusions CCL5 plays a critical role in starting the intrinsic neuronal regeneration system following TBI, which includes growth cone formation, axonogenesis and synaptogensis, remyelination, and the subsequent proper wiring of cortical circuits. Our study underscores the potential of CCL5 as a robust therapeutic stratagem in treating axonal injury and degeneration during the chronic phase after mild brain injury.

Keywords CCL5, Traumatic brain injury, Axon injury, Axonogenesis, Myelination

† Man-Hau Ho and Yih-Jeng Tsai contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Szu‑Yi Chou sichou@gmail.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a complex disorder caused by external forces and is also the most signifcant cause of death and disability for people under the age of 40 $[23, 31]$ $[23, 31]$ $[23, 31]$. According to the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), TBI can be clinically divided into mild (GCS:14–15), moderate (GCS:9–13) and severe (GCS:3–8). Mild TBI is the most common brain injury caused by contact sports (i.e., hockey, football), motor vehicle accidents, and falls. Evidence from long-term studies on soldiers and athletes suggest that mild and repeated mild brain injuries are associated with the development of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy (CTE) [[19](#page-20-2), [37](#page-20-3), [44\]](#page-20-4), and also increase the risk of early onset Parkinsonism [\[18](#page-20-5)], dementia, and Alzheimer's disease (AD) [\[25](#page-20-6), [48](#page-20-7)].

Axonal shredding and tearing upon the impact, also called traumatic axonal injury (TAI) or difuse axonal idiopathic injury (DAI), are the initial direct damages from mechanical impact on brain tissue. TAI is characterized by impaired axoplasmic transport, axonal swelling, disconnection with small hemorrhagic and/ or non-hemorrhagic lesions, and brain swelling [[7\]](#page-19-0). Unfortunately, much evidence suggests that neurons within the adult mammalian CNS cannot regenerate their axons after injury [[13,](#page-20-8) [43\]](#page-20-9). Several deleterious cascades will be activated, leading to axon degeneration

after injury. First, damaged glial cells/oligodendrocytes may not be able to provide sufficient energy to support neurons and cause neuron degeneration after injury [[33](#page-20-10), [49\]](#page-20-11). Second, increased calcium (Ca^{2+}) influx leads to neuron apoptosis and degeneration $[33, 49]$ $[33, 49]$ $[33, 49]$. Third, glia scar and myelin-associated inhibitory proteins, such as Nogo, myelin-associated glycoprotein (Mag), and oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein (OMgp), can inhibit axonal regeneration after injury [\[15](#page-20-12)].

Recent evidence suggests that CNS neurons can revert to an embryonic-like growth state in the chronic/remodeling phase of injury to facilitate axon regeneration [[46\]](#page-20-13). This "redevelopment" state provides a permissive microenvironment and the intracellular machinery for axon regrowth $[9]$. The endogenous repair systems activate and repair damaged axons within the chronic phase after axonal injury. The molecular machinery underlying axon regeneration is very similar to axon growth, such as Rho-GTPases Cdc42, Rac-1, and RhoA, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT signaling pathways, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling [\[38](#page-20-15)]. Importantly, Rho is also the target molecule for myelinassociated inhibitory proteins [\[15\]](#page-20-12). PI3K/Akt activates its downstream molecules - the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and promotes axonal regeneration, synaptic plasticity, and neuronal survival after injury [[35](#page-20-16), [45\]](#page-20-17). Modulating the mTOR pathway is a novel strategy to promote axon regeneration $[10]$ $[10]$. Among three types

of MAP kinases, the Erk cascade is a major pro-survival intracellular signaling pathway that can be activated by GDNF (glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor) to promote neurite outgrowth in the spinal cord [\[29](#page-20-19)].

Chemokine CCL5; C-C motif ligand 5, also known as RANTES (*r*egulated on *a*ctivation, *n*ormal *T* cell *e*xpressed and *s*ecreted), shows many protective roles after neuronal damage, such as in stroke [[51\]](#page-21-0) and AD [\[24](#page-20-20), [28,](#page-20-21) [32,](#page-20-22) [53\]](#page-21-1). In brain trauma, the plasma level of CCL5 increases in both human patients and animals after injury [[2,](#page-19-1) [20](#page-20-23)]. Interestingly, CCL5 was found to be raised around the axonal transection site in mice immediately after injury $[3]$ $[3]$. The increased CCL5 around the axon transection site induces leukocyte infltration to the injury site, but its function on neurons is still unclear. Our previous study identifed that CCL5 is an essential factor in activating glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1) after brain injury, consequently reducing oxidative stress and protecting hippocampal neurons from oxidative stress-induced death; this efect facilitated memory-cognition recovery in mice after mild brain injury [\[22](#page-20-24)]. We also showed that CCL5 contributes to hippocampal neurons' ATP generation and synaptic complex formation [[1\]](#page-19-3). In addition, CCL5 expression after spinal cord injury is associated with axon regeneration and immune suppression [[57\]](#page-21-2). The plasma level of CCL5 is highly correlated with BDNF, EGF (epidermal growth factor), and VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) after stroke [\[51](#page-21-0)]; those trophic factors contribute to neuron growth and proper brain function. Taken together, these fndings suggest that CCL5 can help neurons survive from energy shortage and promote axon and synapse regrowth after injury.

In the present study, we identifed that this chemokine has a robust and attractive efect on neuron growth cone formation, axon growth and myelination during postinjury repair. We specifcally demonstrate for the frst time that these effects are related to the ability of neuronal CCL5 to promote axon growth cone formation, axonogenesis and myelination through P70S6/mTOR signaling and the NRG1/ErbB and FGF pathways, ultimately augmenting neuronal axon and synapse regrowth after injury. Together, CCL5 promotes recovery of axogenesis and neurogenesis after brain injury.

Materials and methods

Animas and weight‑drop (WD) model of mild TBI and behavioral tests

The Institutional Animal Care approved traumatic brain injury (TBI) study protocols and Use Committees of the Taipei Medical University (Protocol numbers: LAC-2021-0004; LAC-2021-0360). Male C57BL/6J mice were provided by the National Laboratory Animal Center (NLAC), NARLabs, Taiwan. B6.129P2-Ccl5^{tm1Hso}/J mice (CCL5 knockout, CCL5-KO, Stock No: 005090), purchased from Jackson Laboratory, were maintained in NLAC. 3–5 mice were housed per cage with free access to food and water. Animal rooms were kept on a 12:12-hour light/dark cycle with a room temperature of 25 °C; behavior tests were also performed at 25 °C Only male mice were used in this study because of the well-documented neuroprotective actions of estrogen in several neurodegeneration models in mice [[11,](#page-20-25) [12](#page-20-26)], which would add a confound here. Estrogen can inhibit the activation of microglia and astrocyte related neuroinfammation after injury [[55](#page-21-3)]. On the other hand, estrogens also promote neurogenesis and neural recovery $[47]$ $[47]$. These functions of estrogen would interfere with the current study about chemokine CCL5. CCL5 is a chemokine which has strong efects on microglia and astrocyte; our previous study also showed that CCL5 can promote neurite outgrowth and synaptic complex formation $[1, 8]$ $[1, 8]$ $[1, 8]$ $[1, 8]$. Thus, we avoided the effects of estrogen in the current study.

TBI was induced by weight drop as previously described [\[5](#page-19-5), [22](#page-20-24), [40–](#page-20-28)[42\]](#page-20-29). Mice were frst anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane with an airflow (rate:1.5–2.0 l/ mins), then placed on a foam sponge underneath the weight-drop device (a hollow cylindrical tube with an inner diameter of 1.2 cm, 100 cm height). Mild TBI was induced by a 30 g weight to strike at the center of the mouse brain (Fig. [1A](#page-3-0)). Sham animals were only anesthetized by isofurane without TBI induction. Body temperature was maintained during surgery and recovery at 38ºc using a heated pad and incubator.

CCL5 KO mice were treated with recombinant mouse CCL5/RANTES Protein (478-MR-025, R&D system) in the rescue experiments. CCL5 recombinant protein was diluted with PBS and given to CCL5 KO mice at a 300 µg/ kg dosage through intranasal delivery as described previ-ously [[22](#page-20-24)]. In the post-treatment group, CCL5 administration persisted in CCL5 KO mice at a dosage of 30 µg/ kg, commencing after 3 days post-infection (dpi) and continued every two days for one month. CCL5 recombinant protein was conjugated by Alexa Fluor[™] 594 (Microscale Protein Labeling Kit, A30008, Invitrogen) for tracking the distribution of recombinant CCL5 in the brain [[22\]](#page-20-24).

a) *mNSS Score*: Modifed Neurological Severity Scores (mNSS) is a neurological function test with several behavioral parameters that indicate overall neuronal function. mNSS scores include motor, sensory, refex and balance performance evaluation. The parameters were graded as 0 to 18; a composite score of ≤ 6 designates normal or a mild TBI, 7–12 designates a moderate TBI, and \geq 13 designates a severe TBI.

Fig. 1 Weight drop induced mild traumatic brain injury and caused cortical function impairment in mice. **A** An illustration of the weight-drop-induced motor and sensory cortex injury site. **B** The protein levels of CCL5 in the cortex after 1-, 4-, and 7 days of injury (dpi) were detected by ELISA assay (sham vs. 1 dpi, $p=0.0420$; sham vs. 4 dpi, $p=0.0177$; sham vs. 7dpi, $p=0.0281$. Data were presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by *t*-test following Mann-Whitney test). **C** The mNSS score of both WT and CCL5-KO mice showed mild brain injury. (WT sham vs. TBI, *p*=0.0038; KO sham vs. TBI, *p*<0.0001; WT-TBI vs. KO-TBI, *p*<0.0001). The motor function of 4 groups of mice included falling time from the accelerating Rotarod (WT sham vs. TBI, *p*<0.0001; KO sham vs. TBI, *p*<0.0001; WT-TBI vs. KO-TBI, *p*=0.0002) (**D**) and foot faults with beam walking (WT sham vs. TBI, $p = 0.0011$; KO sham vs. TBI, $p = 0.0019$; WT-TBI vs. KO-TBI, $p < 0.0001$) (**E**). Sensory function was analyzed by limb sticker removal (WT sham vs. TBI, *p*=0.0003; KO sham vs. TBI, *p*=0.0173; WT-TBI vs. KO-TBI, *p*=0.0399) (**F**). Data was analyzed from both paws. (*n*=7–9 animals in **C–F**) Data in **C–F** were analyzed by two-way ANOVA and presented as mean±SEM. The time of induced brain injury (mild traumatic brain injury, mTBI)

- b) *Beam walking*: The beam consists of 1.2-meter beam with a flat surface of 10 mm (width) x 15 cm (height). A black "safe box" was placed at the end of the beam. Mice went through the beam three times; the frst time was taken as training; the last two times were analyzed with the number of foot faults. The time spent passing the beam was also counted [\[39\]](#page-20-30).
- c) *Rotarod*: An accelerating rotarod (Ugo-Basile, Collegeville) [\[54\]](#page-21-4) was used. Mice were placed on the slowly rotating rod (5 rotations per minute, RPM) for training, then switched to an acceleration mode from 5 to 60 rpm within 3 min. Mice performed three trials and the time of falling from the rod in those trials was recorded.
- d) *Adhesive removal test (ART)*: Small adhesive tape strips (0.3 cm x 0.4 cm) were placed on both the left and right paws of the mice; they were then put into an empty home cage, and the time for removing tape strips was recorded. Observers were blinded to treatment groups in all behavioral tests [[6\]](#page-19-6).

Golgi staining and immunohistochemistry staining

Mice were anesthetized with Zoletil-50 (66F4, Virbac) and Rompum (PP1523, Bayer) mixture and perfused with 0.9% NaCl for Golgi staining or perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma, 158127) in 0.1 M phosphate bufer $(0.1 \text{ M} \text{ PB})$ for immunostaining. The mouse whole brain was treated with the impregnation solution provided in the FD Rapid GolgiStain™ Kit (FD Neurotechnologies, Inc., Columbia, USA, Cat. #: PK401), as we previously published [\[1\]](#page-19-3). In short, brains were sectioned into 150 μm slices with a Microtome (Leica, VT1000S) and then developed using the FD Rapid GolgiStain solution following the experimental procedure provided by the manufacturers. Stained brain slides were then fxed on glass slides coated with gelatin solution (Merck cat no:1.04070) dehydrated with EtOH and Xylene and mounted by Acrytol mounting medium (3801720, Leica). For the Sholl analysis, cortical neurons (40x magnifcation) were captured and processed using Fiji-ImageJ2 (NIH, v2.9). Individual neurons were assessed using concentric circles

with increasing radii (1 μm increments) centered on the cell body. Quantifcation of spines and swelling dendrites was analyzed using Fiji-ImageJ2. The number of spines was normalized by the length of the axon, and the percentage of swelling dendrites was calculated. Quantifcation was conducted utilizing data derived from 5 slides from 3 individual mice within each experimental group.

For immunostaining, mouse brains were cryostat sectioned at 30 μm; brain sections were treated with 1% sodium bicarbonate in 0.1 M PB for 30 min and blocked with 3% normal goat serum (NGS) and 3% BSA in PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100. Primary antibody was prepared in a blocking bufer and incubated with brain sections at 4℃ overnight. After washing three times with PBS with calcium and magnesium (PBSc/m), brain tissues were incubated with secondary Ab for 40 min at room temperature. DAPI (Sigma, D9542) was used to label the nuclei. Tissues were mounted on slides with antifade mounting medium VECTASHIELD (Vector H1000). Primary antibodies were listed in the antibodies table-Supplementary Table 1. Controls consisted of omission of the primary antibody, and observers were blinded as to the treatment group. Quantifcation was performed using data obtained from analysis of 3–4 slides derived from 3 individual mice within each experimental group.

Western blot protein analysis

Mouse cortex tissues were isolated and lysed by RIPA bufer (Millipore, # 20-188, Temecula, CA, USA.) with protease-phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Scientific, #78446, IL, USA). The protein concentration was determined by Bradford BCA assay (Bio-Rad, 500-0006). Electrophoresis was performed with a 10% Tris-HCl protein gel; 30 µg of total protein was loaded per lane and transferred to PVDF membranes by a Trans-Blot® Cell system (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked by 5% skim milk in Tris-bufered saline (TBS) with 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with diferent primary antibodies (listed in the table) at below 4 °C overnight, then washed 3 times with TBST and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. After extensive washing with TBST, membranes were developed by the Clarity Western ECL Substrate kit (Bio-Rad, 1705061); the intensities of protein bands were quantified by Fiji-imageJ2 (NIH, v2.15). Quantifcation was conducted based on the analysis of 4 to 6 mice within each respective experimental group. Antibodies used are listed in the Supplementary Table 1.

CCL5 ELISA

The levels of CCL5 in mouse brain tissues were measured using the mouse CCL5/RANTES DuoSet ELISA kit (DY478, R&D System) following the manufacturer's protocol as in our previous studies [\[8](#page-19-4), [22](#page-20-24)]. In short, 96-well microplates (Corning, 9018) were coated overnight with capture antibody at room temperature (RT). After 3 washings with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST), plates were incubated with blocking bufer (1% BSA in PBS) for 2 h at room temperature (RT) and washed with PBST. 100 µl of brain tissues were added to microplates, incubated for 2 h at RT, and washed with buffer 3 times. A detection antibody was added and set for 2 h, then streptavidin-HRP plus substrate for signal development for 30 min. Microplate samples were measured with an absorbance reader at 450 nm by iMARK Microplate reader (BioRad). The level of CCL5 in each sample was calculated based on a standard curve prepared for the same experiment and normalized by protein concentration. Quantifcation was conducted based on the analysis of 4 to 6 mice within each respective experimental group.

LC‑MS/MS and proteomic analysis

- a) *Sample preparation*: Cortical tissues were isolated from CCL5^{-/-} sham (*n*=3), CCL5^{-/-} TBI (*n*=3), CCL5−/− TBI+CCL5 pretreatment (*n*=5), and CCL5[−]/[−] TBI+CCL5 posttreatment (*n*=4) groups of mice at 28dpi. The tissue was homogenized and extracted for protein with ice-cold PBS. Pre-cold acetone was mixed with the protein solution (acetone to protein solution ratio was 4:1) and stored at −80 °C for at least 2 h; samples were then centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The protein pellet was washed twice with 80% acetone solution (4:1 diluent with ddH₂O) and precipitated by 15,000 g centrifugation for 10 min at 4° C during each wash. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was air-dried on ice and resuspended with 6 M urea solution. Protein concentration was measured with Barford assay reagent (5000006, Bio-Rad, USA); 50 µg of total protein from each sample used in the LC-MS/MS analysis was studied at the proteomics core in National Taiwan University (NTU) - mass spectrometry technical research services from Consortia of Key Technologies and Instrumentation Center (Taipei, Taiwan). The identified proteins were next analyzed by DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8. for gene ontology (GO) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) for pathway, network, and upstream molecule identifcation.
- b) *The Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) analysis*: Samples were divided into four sets of comparisons, including (1) $CCL5^{-/-}$ sham vs. $CCL5^{-/-}$ TBI, (2) CCL5−/− TBI vs. CCL5[−]/[−] TBI+CCL5 pretreatment, (3) $CCL5^{-/-}$ TBI vs. $CCL5^{-/-}$ TBI+CCL5

post-treatment, and (4) $CCL5^{-/-}$ TBI+CCL5 pretreatment vs. $CCL5^{-/-}$ TBI+CCL5 post-treatment. The fold change of identified proteins >1.25 or < 0.75 and unpaired *t*-test *p*-value<0.05 from each comparison set were chosen for further analysis. In DAVID analysis, proteins were analyzed according to biological process, cellular component, molecular function (3 categories), and KEGG pathway; *p*-value<0.05 are shown for each study.

c) *Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)*: The selection protein ID and log2 fold-change (FC) were uploaded to IPA (Qiagen) and analyzed with core analysis (default setting). Core analysis includes canonical pathways, upstream analysis, disease and function, and protein network prediction.

Primary cortical neuron culture and recombinant CCL5 treatment

Primary neurons were cultured from C57BL/6 and CCL5^{-/-} embryos at day 16.5–17 (E16.5-17) [[1,](#page-19-3) [22](#page-20-24)]. Embryonic brain tissues were digested with bufer (2 mg/ml papain, Worthington, LS003119) and 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco, 25200-072) in Dulbecco's Modifed Eagle Medium (DMEM, 12800-017, Gibco) for 14 min and seeded with plating medium (neurobasal medium, 21103-049, Gibco) containing 10% v/v heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10437-028, Gibco), 1% v/v Antibiotic-Antimycotic (15240-062, Gibco), and 2mM L-glutamine (25030, Gibco)). After a 2 h attachment, the medium was replaced with complete medium (neurobasal medium containing 1% v/v N-2 supplement, 17502048, Gibco), 2% v/v B-27 supplement (17504044, Gibco), 1% v/v Antibiotic-Antimycotic and 2mM L-glutamine). Half of the medium was replaced every 3 days. For the growth cone study, 1×10^5 primary cortical neurons were seeded in a 6-well plate with a poly-L-lysine coated coverslip. Cells were treated with CCL5, CCR5 inhibitor (Maraviroc, MCE, Cat. No.: HY-13004), mTOR inhibitor (Rapamycin, PHG0026, ThermoFisher), or ROCK inhibitor (Y27632, Taiclone) at DIV6 and fxed with 4% PFA+3.7% sucrose in PBS at DIV7.

Immunocytochemistry staining and image processing

Primary cortical neurons were fxed with % PFA+3.7% sucrose in PBS, then incubated with 50 mM $NH₄Cl$ for 10 min and blocked with a blocking bufer (3% BSA, 10% TX-100 in PBS) for 60 min. After extensive wash with PBS 3 times, neurons were incubated with the primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4 °C and the corresponding secondary antibody and Phalloidin-594 (A12381, Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature the next day. Finally, coverslips were mounted with

Fluoromount™ Aqueous Mounting Medium (F4680, Sigma-Aldrich). Images were acquired with the STELLA-RIS 8 confocal microscope (Leica). The exported images were imported into ImageJ-Fiji (V2.9.0), and the areas of Phalloidin + and Tuj-1 + were analyzed. The Phalloi- \dim +area was normalized by the Tuj-1+area, and the result was compared with the WT untreated group to determine the reactive Phalloidin intensity.

Quantitative PCR

Injured cortical tissue including motor and sensory cortex from both wild-type (WT) and CCL5[−]/[−] mice were harvested and extracted the total RNA by TRIZOL reagent (15596018, Invitrogen) Tissue RNA subsequent reverse transcript into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (4368813, Applied Biosystems™). Quantitative PCR analysis was carried out by iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) with the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (4376600, Applied Biosystems™, USA). Primer sequence for mouse CXCR4 were as: forward −5'-TGGAACCGATCAGTGTGA GT-3'; reverse −5'-AACCCATGACCAGGATCACC-3'. GADPH primers were as: forward −5'-GTGTTCCTA CCCCCAATGTGT-3'; reverse −5'-AGAGTGGCTGTG TGTTGAAG-3'.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prizm 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Dan Diego, CA, USA). An unpaired t-test analyzed the diferences between the two groups; One-way ANOVA analyzed the same group analysis with a confdence interval of 95%, and multiple factors analysis was conducted with two-way ANOVA. The Bonferroni correction was used for serial measurements. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. All results are presented as mean*±*SEM.

Results

Weight‑drop model of mild brain injury caused motor and sensory cortex dysfunction in CCL5 knockout (CCL5‑KO) mice

Both wild-type (WT, C57BL/6) and CCL5-KO mice were given mild brain injury using a weight-drop (WD) system at about two months of age as in our previous study [\[22](#page-20-24)]; the weight drop induced head injury as shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A. The redlined circled impact area includes the mouse motor and sensory cortex. The expression level of CCL5 in WT mouse cortical tissues was about 40 pg/mg in the cortex, which rapidly increased to 60–160 pg/mg after 1-, 4-, and 7-day post-injury (dpi) by ELISA assay (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B). The mNSS was used to evaluate neurological injury after $1-$, $7-$, $14-$, and 28 days after injury. The mNSS score was lower than 4 in all four groups at all time-points

consistent with a mild injury in mice. The mNSS score slightly increased at 1 dpi and was reduced to 1 at 7 dpi in the WT-mTBI group, indicating a functional recovery in WT mice. In contrast, the mNSS score was not reduced in CCL5-KO mice even one month after brain injury (Fig. [1C](#page-3-0)). Motor cortex function and sensory function were evaluated by Rotarod, beam walking, and limb adhesive removal tests (ART). The coordination and balance of mice on the Rotarod (time falling from Rotarod) after mTBI was signifcantly reduced in both WT and KO mice at 1 dpi (Fig. [1D](#page-3-0)). As with mNSS, the balance performance on Rotarod improved in the WT mTBI group of mice but not in CCL-KO mice after 7 dpi (Fig. [1D](#page-3-0)). The number of foot faults on beam walking was slightly increased in WT mice but markedly increased in CCL5- KO at [1](#page-3-0)-dpi (Fig. 1E). This parameter improved after $7-14$ $7-14$ $7-14$ dpi in both groups of mice (Fig. 1E). The walking time over the beam was 10.25 ± 0.716 s in WT sham and 14.67 \pm 0.99 s in WT mTBI groups at 1 dpi ($p = 0.002$); in KO mice, the walking time at 1-dpi was 12.65 ± 1.36 s in the sham group and 24.62 ± 2.73 s in the TBI group $(p=0.002,$ compared to sham; $p=0.0082$ compared to WT TBI group). The time to remove adhesive stickers on the paws also increased in both WT and KO mice after mTBI, which improved more slowly in CCL5-KO mice after 7–14 dpi compared to 1–7 dpi in WT mice (Fig. [1F](#page-3-0)). Taken together, the delayed recovery suggests expression of CCL5 is important for neural functional recovery after mild TBI.

The recovery of axonal injury and synapse reformation was impaired in the CCL5‑KO cortex after mild TBI

Golgi staining was used to show the neurite structures in cortical neurons adjacent to the injured region (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)A, F). The number of intersections from soma and total intersections of neurites were evaluated by Sholl analysis. The number of intersections, total intersections, and spine density were reduced, and the number of swollen spines was increased in WT mice after 14 days of injury (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)B–E) when cortical function performance had already recovered. Those parameters were improved after 28 days as enhanced numbers of intersections, total intersections, and spine density (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)B–D); the swollen spines were also reduced after 28 days (Fig. [2E](#page-7-0)). In contrast, the number of intersections, total intersections and the spine density continued to be reduced in CCL5-KO mouse cortex after mTBI over 14 to 28 dpi (Fig. [2G](#page-7-0)–I), and the number of swollen spines also continually increased (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)J). The level of synaptic proteins—PSD95 reduced slightly and synaptophysin levels reduced and were maintained in CCL5-KO mouse cortex tissue over 14 to 28 dpi (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)L); this was not seen in the WT cortex after injury (Fig. [2K](#page-7-0)).

Taken together, these fndings suggest that impairment of cortical motor and sensory function in CCL5-KO mice might result from the loss of neurites and spines after mTBI. The repair of neurites and regrowth of spines was manifested around post-injury 14–28 days according to Golgi staining in WT, and this repair process may be compromised by lack of CCL5 in the KO animals.

Reduced activation of axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and myelination signaling molecules in CCL5‑KO cortex after mTBI

To identify the protein profle changes in the damaged brain and its correlation with axon injury in CCL5-KO mice after mild TBI, tissues from the CCL5-KO sham and injured mouse cortex were harvested and then analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the entire list of 932 proteins was carried out and identifed 183 proteins, including 84 up-regulated and 99 down-regulated proteins (adjusted p-value < 0.05) (Fig. [3A](#page-8-0) and Supplementary Fig. 1A, also see Supplementary Data fle 1); identifed GO terms for each category are shown in Fig. [3B](#page-8-0) and Supplementary Data File 2. Synapse and intermediate flament-related proteins were identifed in the cellular component category (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)B, blue characters). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) revealed that the major afected disease and function moieties were associated with cell morphology, organization, and development; organ degeneration, neurodegeneration, and necrosis were increased in the Organ injury category (Fig. [3C](#page-8-0), Supplementary Fig. 1B). Nervous system development and function were also the major afected disease and function categories; an outgrowth of neurites, axonogenesis, and myelination were reduced in the Nerve system category (Fig. [3D](#page-8-0), Supplementary Fig. 1B). Canonical pathways analysis of nervous system subcategories identifed signaling pathways primarily associated with axonogenesis, axon guidance, and neuritogenesis (Supplementary Fig. 1C). These were EIF2, eIf4, and p70S6K, Rho family GTPase, axonal guidance, CDK5, semaphorin, mTOR, Ephrin, and ERK/MAPK signaling (Fig. [3E](#page-8-0), F). Neuregulin and ERBB signaling are myelination-related signaling molecules (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)G). Proteins identifed in each pathway are listed in the Supplementary Data fle 3. We detected the activation of axon guidance-related signaling molecules—Semaphorin 3A, EphinA5, EphA4, and eIF2a, which were signifcantly lower in the CCL5-KO groups of mice (Fig. [3H](#page-8-0), H'). Downstream signaling molecules—phosphorylation of mTOR (S2448), which is also involved in synaptogenesis, was increased in the WT-TBI group but reduced in the CCL5-KO TBI group by western blot analysis (Fig. $3I$, I'). This suggests that mTOR-related function in

Fig. 2 The recovery of axonal injury was impaired in the CCL5-KO cortex after mild TBI. Golgi staining revealed the axon and spine structures in WT and CCL5-KO mouse cortex with sham treatment and mild TBI – 14 and −28 days of injury (dpi). **A**, **F** The representative images of neurites and spine structures in WT and CCL5-KO mouse cortex; boxed regions were enlarged on the right. Black arrowheads point to the normal dendritic spines, and white arrows point to swollen neurites and spines. Scale bar =1 mm and 20 μm. **B**, **G** The number of intersections (WT sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*<0.0001; WT 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, *p*<0.0001; KO sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*<0.0001; KO 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, *p*<0.0001. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA and presented as mean ± SEM), **C**, **H** total intersections (WT sham vs. 14 dpi, $p=0.0018$; WT 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, $p=0.0493$; KO sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*<0.0001; KO 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, *p*=0.0004.), **D**, **I** spine density (WT sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*<0.0001; WT 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, *p*<0.0001; WT sham vs. 28 dpi, no signifcant diference, NS; KO sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*<0.0001; KO 14dpi vs. 28 dpi, *p*=0.0399; KO sham vs. 28 dpi, *p*<0.0001.), and **E**, **J** swollen spines (WT sham vs. 14 dpi, $p < 0.0001$; WT 14 dpi vs. 28 dpi, $p < 0.0001$; WT sham vs. 28 dpi, $p = 0.0231$; KO sham vs. 14 dpi, $p = 0.0004$; KO 14dpi vs. 28dpi, *p*=0.0229; KO sham vs. 28 dpi, *p*<0.0001.) were quantifed in diferent groups of mice (*n*=10 in each group). Data in **C–E** and **H–J** were analyzed by unpaired *t*-test and presented as mean±SEM. **K**, **L** The expression of synaptic proteins – PSD95 and synaptophysin in diferent groups of WT and CCL5-KO mouse cortex, including sham, 4, 7, 14, and 28 dpi, was analyzed by western blot. Quantification of results from 3 independent mouse samples in each group is listed above the images of protein blots in 2 **K–L**. (KO PSD95: sham vs. 4 dpi, *p*=0.0015; sham vs. 7 dpi, *p*=0.0038; sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*=0.0147; sham vs. 28 dpi, *p*=0.0025. KO Synaptophysin: sham vs. 4 dpi, *p*=0.0126; sham vs. 7 dpi, *p*=0.0019; sham vs. 14 dpi, *p*=0.0089; sham vs. 28 dpi, $p=0.0186$. Data were presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by *t*-test following Mann-Whitney test)

axonogenesis and synaptogenesis was impaired in CCL5- KO after injury.

Neuregulin, ErbB2/3, and Erk signaling are essential in promoting Schwann cell growth and survival, migration,

extending axons, and myelination. The expression of Neuregulin and phosphorylated-Erk were reduced in the cortex tissue of the CCL5-KO TBI group of mice (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)J, J'); in contrast, SMI-32 labeled non-phosphorylated

neuroflaments, which indicates damaged axons, was signifcantly increased in the injured cortex of CCL5-KO mice after 1 month (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)J–L, J'). Oligo2-labeled oligodendrocytes were raised in the injured cortex of WT mice after mTBI but not in the KO mice (Fig. [3K](#page-8-0), M). Together, these data support our fnding of axonal injury and synapse loss shown in Golgi staining (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0) and cortical neuron dysfunction (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)) after brain injury in KO mice.

CCL5 is an important chemokine in microglia activation, but the impact of infammatory responses was not the major afected pathway (Supplementary Fig. 1B, green); the infammatory response – macrophage activation and phagocytosis pathways were reduced in CCL5- KO mice after TBI (Supplementary Fig. 1E).

Intranasal delivery of recombinant CCL5 after injury improves both motor and sensory function

As shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B, the level of CCL5 protein increased to $60 \sim 160$ pg/mg in cortex tissue after mild TBI; a low dose of CCL5 was thus used here in the following rescue experiments. To confrm the efect of CCL5 in neurite repair and synapse regrowth after brain injury, we studied intranasally (in) delivered recombinant CCL5 (rCCL5) into the mouse brain, as we previously used [\[22](#page-20-24)]. First, a single dosage of rCCL5 (300 pg/g) was administered into the CCL5-KO mouse brain just before inducing mTBI (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)A-1). However, a therapeutic treatment after an injury is also necessary for the clinical application; thus, we also administered a lower dosage series of rCCL5 (30 pg/g) into the CCL5-KO mouse brain 3 days after inducing mTBI every two days until 28 dpi (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)A-2). PBS treatment was used as a CCL5 TBI control. The rCCL5

was first conjugated with Alex FluroTM-594 and the distribution of rCCL5- Alex FluroTM-594 in the brain was detected by Alex FluroTM-594 (red) as well as a CCL5specific antibody in mouse cortex (Fig. $4B$ $4B$). The boxed region indicates the injured cortex region; B' is part of an enlarged image from the boxed region (Fig. $4B$ $4B$). The tissue level of CCL5 was detected by CCL5 specifc ELISA assay to confirm penetration efficiency. 40 pg/mg of CCL5 was detected in mouse prefrontal cortex (CTX) tissues after i.n. CCL5 for 6 h and was gradually reduced to $20 \sim 30$ pg/mg of CCL5 after 24 h (Supplementary Fig. 2A), which is similar to the endogenous level of CCL5 in WT mouse cortex (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B). The localization of rCCL5 in mouse cortex was co-labeled with the neuron marker-NeuN (Supplementary Fig. 2B), the astrocyte marker-GFAP (Supplementary Fig. 2C) and the microglia marker-Ibal (Supplementary Fig. 2D); the results showed that rCCL5 colocalized mostly with NeuN-positive neurons (\sim 70%, Supplementary Fig. 2B, E).

In the pretreatment with CCL5 (PreL5) study, the neurological mNSS score was lower than 4 in all three groups of mice, including CCL5-KO sham, TBI+i.n. PBS, and TBI $+i.n.$ CCL5 (PreL5) after 1-, 3- and 7-dpi (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)C). Motor function, assessed by Rotarod, improved in CCL5-treated CCL5-KO mice after 3 dpi (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)D); the foot faults also improved faster in mice receiving recombinant CCL5 compared to the PBS group (Fig. [4E](#page-10-0)). In ART - the time to remove paw stickers in CCL5-KO TBI mice receiving recombinant CCL5 was the same as in the CCL5-KO sham control animals (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)F). In contrast, the CCL5-KO TBI i.n. PBS group had a much slower time for sticker removal at dpi 1 and 4 (Fig. $4F$). Thus, the cortical function parameters were improved within seven

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 3 LC-MS/MS analysis identifed a reduction of axonogenesis and myelination signaling pathways in CCL5-KO mice with mild brain injury. **A** Venn diagram comparing DEPs (diferentially expressed proteins) and volcano plot of signifcant DEPs between sham and mild TBI CCL5-KO mouse cortex. DEPs: p-value < 0.05 in comparison to sham control, respectively. Colored points represent log2 ratio > 0 upregulated protein (red) and log2 ratio<0 downregulated protein (blue). Selected axonogenesis and myelination pathway-related proteins are highlighted as indicated (Red: myelinations, Green: axonogenesis and synaptogenesis, Yellow: overlapping). Results from Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of 183 identifed proteins (84 up regulated, 99 down regulated) against a background list of all known mouse protein symbols. **B** Identifed GO terms from the three GO groups are shown (Green bar: biological process, Red bar: cellular component, Blue bar: molecular function. Blue character: neuron function related). The strength of enrichment of each GO term was indicated by the Log10 p-value (X-axis). **C**, **D** IPA analysis identifed afected diseases and function in organ injury (**C**) and nervous system (**D**) categories. Z-score values indicated that functions are predicted to be activated (red) or inhibited (blue). Selected IPA canonical pathways in the nervous system identifed signifcant DEPs related to axon (**E**), synapse (**F**), and myelination signaling pathways (**G**). Protein blots analyzed the expression of identifed proteins in sham and mTBI groups of WT and CCL5-KO mouse cortical tissue, including **H, H'** axon guidance-related Sema3a (WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*<0.0001; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0067), EphrinA5 (WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.0015; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0223), EphA4 (WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0162), and p-EIF2/EIF2; **I, I'** synapse-related p-mTOR/ mTOR (WT sham vs. TBI, *p*=0.0319; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0002), and **J, J'** myelination-related: NRG-1 (WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.0045; KO sham vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.05; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0006), p-Erk/Erk (WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.014; KO sham vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0146), and SMI32 (WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.0328; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.030). **K,** The immunostaining of unmyelinated neuritis with SMI32 antibody (green) and oligodendrocyte by Oligo2 antibody (red) in diferent groups of mouse cortex. DAPI, blue, for nucleus. Scale=100 μm. The quantifcation of **L** SMI-32 and **M** Oligo-2 in sham and mTBI groups of WT and KO mice (SMI32: WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.0003; WT sham vs. TBI, *p*=0.0002; KO sham vs. TBI, *p*<0.0009; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0002) (Oligo2: WT sham vs. KO sham, *p*=0.0003; WT TBI vs. KO TBI, *p*=0.0007). Data were analyzed by unpaired *t*-test

Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)

Fig. 4 Cortical neuron dysfunctions were improved by intranasally delivering CCL5 into CCL5-KO mice. **A** An illustration of intranasal delivery (i.n.) of recombinant CCL5 into mice. Recombinant CCL5 was administered into mice either (1) 30 min before injury with a single dosage of 300 pg/g or (2) 3 days after injury with 30 pg/g every 2 days until 28 dpi. **B** Recombinant CCL5 conjugated with Alexa Fluor™ 594 was detected by Alexa Fluor™ 594 (red) and CCL5 specifc antibody (green) in mouse cortex. Images of CCL5 at the injury site in the cortex were enlarged on the right **B**' (Scale bar =1 mm in **B** and 100 µm in **B'**). DAPI labeled the nucleus. **C-J** A Black dashed line points to the time of brain injury. The Purple dashed line indicates the treatment with CCL5 before the weight drop impact in **C-F**; the green dashed line and green area indicate the post-treatment with CCL5 from 3 dpi until 28 dpi in **G-J**. **C**, **G** The mNSS score of the CCL5-KO sham group and mice treated with PBS (control) and CCL5 (300 pg/g, single dose) before mTBI or PBS (control) and CCL5 (30 pg/g, every two days) (**G**: PBS vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0418) after mTBI. Motor function of CCL5-KO mice with i.n. PBS or CCL5 was analyzed by Rotarod **(D**, **H)** (**D**: sham vs PBS, *p*<0.0001; sham vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0010; PBS vs Pre-L5, *p*<0.0001. **H**: PBS vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0009), and beam walking (**E**, **I**), which was improved in both i.n. CCL5 treated groups. (**E**: sham vs PBS, *p*<0.0001; sham vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0247; PBS vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0038. **I**: PBS vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0006). **F**, **J** Sensory function was analyzed by sicker removal test (**F**: sham vs PBS, *p*=0.0028; sham vs Pre-L5, NS; PBS vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0013. **J**: PBS vs Post-L5 at 4 dpi, *p*=0.015, by *t*-test). The time to remove stickers in CCL5-KO mice was reduced after being treated with CCL5. (*n*=4~5 in **C-F**; *n*=6 in **G-J**). Data in **D-I** was analyzed by two-way ANOVA between groups and presented as mean±SEM

days in the PreL5 group of mice, which suggests early CCL5 intervention is beneficial for cortex neuron function recovery.

In the post-treatment of CCL5 (PostL5) study, the neurological mNSS score was also lower than 4 in TBI $+i$.n. PBS, and TBI+i.n. CCL5 (PostL5) at -3 , -7 , and -14 dpi; the mNSS score was reduced faster in mice treated with CCL5 than in mice treated with PBS (Fig. 4G 4G). The falling times from the Rotarod were reduced in both PBS and PostL5 groups of mice after mTBI, but which improved immediately when animals received rCCL5 (PostL5) but not PBS (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)H). The number of foot faults from the balance beam

also improved after rCCL5 treatment but not PBS treatment (Fig. [4I](#page-10-0)). In ART - the time to remove paw stickers was also reduced quickly right after rCCL5 treatment (Fig. [4J](#page-10-0)).

These findings strongly support an important function of CCL5 in cortical neuronal recovery after mild brain injury. Both early intervention and subsequent treatment with CCL5 improved mouse motor and sensory function.

CCL5 treatment enhanced axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and myelination signaling in mouse CCL5‑KO cortex after mTBI

To further confrm the rescue efect of CCL5 in cortical neuron function, cortical tissues from CCL5-KO mice with TBI, TBI+PreL5, and TBI-PostL5 were harvested and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. GO enrichment evaluated the entire list of 1531 proteins and identifed 134 proteins from PreL5 group vs. TBI, 275 proteins from PostL5 vs. TBI, and 54 proteins from PreL5 overlapping with PostL5 vs. TBI (45 up-regulation, 8 down-regulations. adjusted p-value<0.05) (Fig. [5A](#page-11-0)–C and Supplementary Figs. 3A, 4 A-B, 5A-B also see Supplementary Data fle 1). Identifed GO terms for each category in PreL5 or PostL5 treatment are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4C and 5C and Supplementary Data file 6, 7. The overlapping portions of PreL5 and PostL5 were analyzed by DAVID GO analysis and IPA (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)C). GO analysis identifed that CCL5 treatment afected transport, synapse, and synaptosome-related pathways in the cellular component

category (Fig. [5D](#page-11-0), Blue character—Supplementary Data File 4. CCL5 treatment reduced abdominal lesion infammation, necrosis neurodegeneration, the organismal death, organ degeneration, and degeneration of brain categories in IPA analysis (Supplementary Fig. 3B). The major afected diseases and functions are cell death and survival, organismal injury and abnormality, especially Nervous system development, and Function and cellular assembly and organization (Supplementary Fig. 3C). In the nervous system category, the quantity of neuroglia, brain formation, and neuritogenesis were increased in the $TBI+CCL5$ treatment groups (Fig. $5E$). The reduced axonogenesis, neuritogenesis, and myelinationrelated signaling pathways in KO TBI tissue, such as eIF2, mTOR, synaptogenesis, Neuregulin, and myelination signaling were all increased after CCL5 treatments (Fig. [5F](#page-11-0)–I, and Supplementary Fig. 3D). IPA analysis showed up-regulation in the axon regeneration signaling pathway (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)F), synaptogenesis signaling pathway (Fig. [5G](#page-11-0)), and myelination signaling pathway (Fig. [5I](#page-11-0)) in both PreL5 and PostL5 CCL5 treatment. Interestingly, CCL5 treatment also enhanced neuron developmentrelated signaling, such as CNTF, VEGF, Huntington's dis-ease, and Reelin (Fig. [5H](#page-11-0)). (The gradient of yellow to red indicates the Z-score value in Fig. [5](#page-11-0)F–I.). Proteins identifed in each pathway are listed in the Supplementary Data File 5, and their connections in diferent pathways are in Supplementary Fig. 3F, G.

The upstream analysis identified several proteins correlated with neuron activation (PI3 kinase, PKA, mTOR pathway-related, such as OTUD3, FUNDC2, PPP1R1B, HTR6), neuronal migration (HTR6, DCLK1), retrograde transport (DCLK1), dendrite development and synaptic vesicle transport release (LRP8, SV2C), as well as transcription factors increasing neurogenesis (TCF7L2, HDAC7) or cortical complexity formation (LGALS3BP) (green labeled in Supplementary Fig. 3E). ACSBG1 promotes long-chain fatty acid metabolism and myelinogenesis (red marked in Supplementary Fig. 3E). These proteins and signaling pathways may facilitate the restoration of cortical neuron regrowth after trauma.

Cortical neuron axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and myelination were enhanced by CCL5 treatment

We further validated these fndings from proteomic analysis anatomically. Synapse and spine structure in sham, TBI, and TBI with pretreatment of CCL5 (TBI+PreL5) or TBI with post-treatment of CCL5 (TBI+PostL5) cortex were examined using Golgi staining. The reduced spine density in the TBI group of KO mice was restored in the TBI+PreL5 and TBI+PostL5 groups of mice (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)A-B); the increased number of swollen spines in the TBI group was reduced in both TBI+PreL5 and TBI+PostL5 groups of mice (Fig. [6A](#page-12-0), C). Synaptic proteins - PSD95 , synaptophysin and GAP43 in the injured mouse cortex also increased after CCL5 treatment (Fig. [6D](#page-12-0), D').

Those reduced axonal and spine formation-related signaling proteins in the TBI group of CCL5-KO mice, including Sema3A, phosphor-p70S6, and phosphormTOR, were increased, in both TBI+preCCL5 and TBI+PostCCL5 mouse cortex (Fig. [6E](#page-12-0), E'). Myelinationrelated signaling pathways proteins—NRG-1, phosphor-Erk (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)F, F'), and phosphor-FAK-increased (Fig. [6G](#page-12-0), G'). Unmyelinated neuroflament labeled by SMI-32 was reduced in those mice receiving CCL5 treatment (Fig. [6H](#page-12-0), J) with increased oligodendrocytes (Arrowheads pointed, Fig. [6](#page-12-0)H, K). In addition, receptor CXCR4, identifed by LC-MS/MS, was also increased in the CCL5 administered cortex by both immunostaining and q-PCR

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 6 CCL5 treatment enhanced synaptogenesis and myelination by activating the mTOR signaling pathway and the NGR-ERBB signaling pathway after mTBI. Golgi staining of cortical neurons in sham, TBI, TBI with CCL5 pretreatment (PreL5), and TBI with CCL5 post-treatment (PostL5) groups of mice. **A** The representative images of neurites and dendritic spines in diferent groups of mice. Black arrows point to swollen spines. Scale bar = 10 μ m. The spine density (B) and the number of swollen spines (C) were quantified in different groups of mice ($n=10$ in each group). (**B**: sham vs TBI, *p*<0.0001; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0447; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*<0.0001). (**C**: sham vs TBI, *p*<0.0001; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*<0.0001; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*<0.0001) **D** The expression of synaptic proteins – PSD95 and synaptophysin in KO mice cortex after mTBI with/without CCL5 administration. (**D'**: PSD95: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0169; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0008; Synaptophysin: sham vs TBI, *p*=0.004; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0032; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0013; GAP43: TBI vs Pre-L5, $p = 0.0079$; TBI vs Post-L5, $p = 0.0177$). Western blot analyzed the expression of **E** axon-related signaling proteins - Sema3, EIF2, and mTOR phosphorylation. (**E'**: SEMA3a: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p* = 0.0193; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0483; p-p70S6^{T421}: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0121; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0238; p-mTOR: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0121). **F** myelination-related proteins - Neuregulin, Erk, and SMI32 (**F'**: NRG-1: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0420; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0127; p-ERK1/2: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0317; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0303); and **G** FGF signaling - FAK phosphorylation (**G'**: p-FAK: sham vs TBI, *p*=0.0476; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0476; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0238) in injured cortex in diferent groups. (*n*=4~5 in each group) **H** The immunostaining of unmyelinated axon - SMI-32 and oligo-2 in 4 groups of CCL5-KO mouse cortex; arrows point to the Oligo-2 positive cells under the pial surface around the injured cortex. The quantifcation results were in (**J**) SMI-32 and (**K**) oligodendrocytes. (**J**: sham vs TBI, *p*<0.0001; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*<0.0001; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*<0.0001). (**K**: TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0004; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*=0.0008). **I** The immunostaining of Reelin (red, arrows) and CXCR4 (Cyan) in mouse cortex in diferent groups of mice; the q-PCR quantitative result of CXCR4 in mouse cortex **L** (**L**: sham vs TBI, *p*=0.0075; TBI vs Pre-L5, *p*=0.0047; TBI vs Post-L5, *p*<0.0001). Data were analyzed by unpaired *t*-test. See also Supplementary Fig. 6

Fig. 6 (See legend on previous page.)

analysis (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)I–L, and Supplementary Fig. 6). Interestingly, Reelin signal was increased in the post-CCL5 treatment group which guide axon extension/migration in the cortex region (Fig. [6I](#page-12-0), and Supplementary Fig. 6).

Although neuronal function in WT mice recovered from mTBI quickly (7–14 dpi), the recovery of spine and axon was seen only after 14 dpi. Therefore, similar CCL5 administration was also performed in WT mice. The mNSS, Rotarod, beam walking and ART scores changed signifcantly in WT mice receiving CCL5 after TBI, especially in the PostL5 group (Supplementary Fig. 7A–C). The spine density of cortical neurons was significantly

increased in both pre- and post-CCL5 treatment groups (Supplementary Fig. 7E, F) and the percent of swollen spines was reduced by CCL5 administration at 14 dpi (Supplementary Fig. $7E$, G) by Golgi staining. The fluorescent intensity of SMI-32 immunostaining was reduced in both pre- and post-CCL5 treatment groups of mice (Supplementary Fig. 7H, I); the number of Oligo2 positive cells was increased in the Post-CCL5 group (Supplementary Fig. 7H, J).

CCL5 administration signifcantly increased the protein expression of synaptophysin and GAP43 in the injured mouse cortex in both pre- and post-CCL5 treated groups (Supplementary Fig. 7K, K'), but the protein level of PSD95 was only also slightly increased after CCL5 treatment (Supplementary Fig. 7K, K'). With the axon guidance and mTOR related signaling molecules, Post-CCL5 administration signifcantly increased Reelin expression as well as p70S6K and mTOR phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 7L, L'), but not Sema3a (Supplementary Fig. 7L, L'). Both pre- and post-CCL5 treatment increased the NRG-1 downstream molecule – Erk1/2 phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 7M, M') but not NRG-1. Interestingly, post-CCL5 treatment increased FAK protein expression but not the phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 7N, N'). Although CCL5 administration showed some diferent activations of signaling molecules between WT and CCL5-KO, CCL5 makes a signifcant contribution to in vivo axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and remyelination processes after brain injury in both WT and CCL-KO mice.

CCL5 promoted growth cone formation and sprouting through receptor CCR5 and mTOR and ERK signaling pathway

CCL5 promotes synaptogenesis in hippocampal neurons through the PI3K-Akt-GSK3β pathway shown in our previous study $[1]$ $[1]$, but the growth cone regrowth is the critical step of axonogenesis after injury. Herein, this study identifed several signaling pathways activated by CCL5 in mouse brains, such as the mTOR, Rho, and FGF pathways; we further validated those signaling pathways

(See figure on next page.)

in in vitro cortical neuron cultures. Cortical neurons were cultured from WT and CCK5-KO mice and treated with diferent doses of CCL5 (0, 250, 500, and 1000 pg/ ml of CCL5). FGF treatment was taking as a positive control. Phalloidin was used to label the growth cones, and Tuj-1 labeled the whole neuron structure. The signal intensity of Phalloidin was signifcantly lower in the CCL5-KO neurons around growth cones compared to WT neurons, which increased with CCL5 treatment in KO neurons but slightly in WT neurons (Fig. [7](#page-14-0)A, B). The number of branching was lower in CCL5-KO neurons, and increased with CCL5 treatment in both WT and KO neurons (Fig. $7A$, C). The phosphorylation of mTOR/ p70s6k and Erk signaling was also increased after CCL5 treatment (Fig. [7D](#page-14-0)–H).

Blocking CCL5 receptor - CCR5 with a FAD proved inhibitor - Maraviroc (5 nM) reduced phalloidin signals intensity (Fig. [8A](#page-16-0), B) but not branching (Fig. [8A](#page-16-0), C); the activation of FAK/Erk1/2, mTOR/p70s6k signaling by CCL5 was also reduced by Maraviroc (Fig. [8](#page-16-0)D–H). Rapamycin, the inhibitor of mTOR signaling, inhibits the activation of mTOR and caused inactivation of p70s6K (Fig. [8](#page-16-0)E) which also abolished CCL5's efect on growth cones (Fig. $8A$ $8A$, B). The Rho kinase inhibitor - Y27632 (50 µM, ROCK inhibitor) blocked CCL5's efect on growth cone (Fig. [8](#page-16-0)A, B) but increased the branching number (Fig. [8](#page-16-0)C) which modulated the activation of Erk/FAK singlaing upon CCL5 administration. Thus, the CCL5/CCR5 axis promotes cortical neuron axon formation through mTOR and Erk signaling pathways.

Discussion

The present study identifies a unique role of the chemokine CCL5 in axonal regrowth after brain trauma. Our data also specifcally reveal that CCL5, by promoting axonogenesis and remyelination, restores cortical neuron structure and function after injury. Intranasal administration of CCL5 into the brain after injury at either the acute phase (single dosage of CCL5, 300 pg/g) or the chronic phase (low dose of CCL5, 30 pg/g every two days)

Fig. 7 CCL5 increased growth cone formation through activating the mTOR and FAK pathway. **A** Phalloidin (red) labeled the flopodia in axon growth cones of WT or CCL5-KO neurons after treating with CCL5 (0, 100, 250, 500, 1000 pg/ml). Tuj-1 labeled neurites, and DAPI labeled the nucleus. Phalloidin labeled growth cones in diferent groups were enlarged in the boxed regions: scale bar =50 μm and 5 μm. The fuorence intensity of Phalloidin and neurite branching in diferent groups was quantifed in **B**, **C**. (**B**: KO neuron treated with CCL5, *p*=0.027; WT vs. KO neuron, *p*<0.0001). (**C**: WT neuron treated with CCL5, *p*=0.0089; KO neuron treated with CCL5, *p*<0.0001; WT contol vs. KO contol, *p*=0.0009 by *t*-test). **D** The activation of p70s6k/mTOR, NRG-1, FAK, and Erk signaling proteins in neurons after treatment with CCL5 (0, 100, 250, 500, 1000 pg/ml) in westen blot analysis. FGF was taken as positive control. Quantifcation data were in **E-H**. **E** The activation of p70s6k by CCL5 *p*=0.0182; the activation of p70s6k by FGF *p*=0.0079. **F** The activation of mTOR by CCL5 *p*=0.0217; the activation of mTOR by FGF *p*=0.0169. **G** The activation of ERK1/2 by CCL5 *p*=0.0437; the activation of ERK1/2 by FGF *p*=0.0025. Data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA in same group and two-way ANOVA between groups. Data between control and FGF treatment was analyzed by *t*-test

Fig. 7 (See legend on previous page.)

signifcantly augments animal neurological behavioral performance and also neuronal structural reconstruction.

Most patients recover from mild brain injury around 7 days and show no signifcant neurological impairment in the clinic; however, the neuronal structure and connections require weeks to months to repair after injury [[13\]](#page-20-8). Repairing neurites and reconnection between neurons during the chronic/remodeling phase are critical for preventing neurodegeneration after brain injury. Herein, the motor and sensory function improved in WT mice after 1–2 weeks (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)D, F), but the structure of axons, neurites, and dendritic spine started to recover from 14 dpi to 28 dpi (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)A–E). Interestingly, the recovery of neurological sores was reduced in CCL5-KO mice with reduced neurites and spine structures (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)). This supports the critical role of CCL5 in the chronic/remodeling phase after TBI. Pretreatment with CCL5 to mimic endogenous CCL5 efects in the brain and post-treatment with CCL5 was used to test the possibility of using CCL5 in TBI patients. We have previously identifed that pretreatment with CCL5 activates GPX1 expression, reduces tissue oxidative stress, reduces memory impairment, and protects hippocampal neurons after injury. Herein, we also show the reduction of oxidative stress in cortex by CCL5 pretreatment (Supplementary Fig. 4D, purple-Free radical scavenging) but not in the post-treatment protocol (Supplementary Fig. 5D). Importantly, treating mice with CCL5, both with pre- and post-treatments, afected mainly neuron function, such as enhanced mTOR and Erk/FGF-mediated axonogenesis and synaptogenesis signaling in our animal in vivo (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)) and in the in vitro culture models (Fig. [7\)](#page-14-0). mTOR signaling is a novel therapeutic strategy for TBIs, which may promote axon regeneration in the adult CNS [\[10,](#page-20-18) [45](#page-20-17)].

CCL5 binds to many receptors in the brain; inhibition of CCR5, one of CCL5's receptors, benefts recovery after a stroke or TBI in mice [[26\]](#page-20-31). CCR5 activation promotes NLRP1-dependent neuronal pyroptosis after intracerebral hemorrhage [\[58](#page-21-5)]; however, our study identifed a reduction of necrosis, neurodegeneration, organ degeneration, and degeneration of the brain in TBI mice treated with CCL5 (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Most of those studies treated mice with the CCR5 inhibitor—Maraviroc in the acute phase, such as 0–5 days in a CCI model or a moderate TBI model [\[17,](#page-20-32) [26,](#page-20-31) [36](#page-20-33)] which is very diferent from our mild close-head injury model. CCI is an open head injury model which causes brain tissue damage or even tissue lost and activates a severe infammatory response. Inhibiting CCR5 mediated infammation in the acute phase can successfully reduce infammation and also balance chemokine and cytokine levels and responses after these brain traumas. However, there is no study using Maraviroc in the chronic phase. Our model is closer to normal close head mild traumatic brain injury conditions which do not cause severe infammation and no open wound. Importantly, we treated animals after 3 dpi with low doses of CCL5 (30pg/g) every two days until 28 dpi which improved not only motor and sensory functions but also spine structures and remyelination (reduced SMI32 and increased Oligo2) in both WT and CCL5-KO mouse cortical injury regions. In addition, CCR5 is the critical receptor in CCL5, promoting growth cone formation and mTOR signaling activation in the primary neuron culture system (Fig. [8\)](#page-16-0). In a previous study, CCL5 has been identifed as the downstream key factor of CNTF. It promotes optic nerve regeneration through the CCR5 receptor on RGCs (retina ganglia cells) [\[56](#page-21-6)]. Taken together, our fndings show that the CCL5/CCR5 axis can also promote cortical nerve regeneration during TBI chronic phase.

Administration of CCL5 activates myelination and axon guidance-related signaling (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)H). Oligodendrocytes are critical for synapse and axon pruning processes [[14\]](#page-20-34); however, the role of CCL5 in oligodendrocytes is largely unclear. Lanfranco et. al. using RNAscope, showed that CCL5 is highly expressed in Nkx2.2 positive

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 8 Inhibiting CCR5 receptor and mTOR signaling pathway reduced CCL5's efect on growth cone formation. **A** Phalloidin (red) labeled the flopodia in axon growth cones of WT neurons treating with CCL5 (250 pg/ml), CCR5 inhibitor-Maraviroc (5 nM), mTOR inhibitor-Rapamycin (1 µM) and ROCK inhibitor-Y27632 (50 µM). Tuj-1 labeled neurites, and DAPI labeled the nucleus. Phalloidin and growth cones in diferent groups were enlarged in the boxed regions: scale bar =50 μm and 5 μm. The fuorence intensity of Phalloidin and number of branching in diferent groups was quantifed in **B**, **C**. (**B**: control vs. Maraviroc, *p*=0.0451; control vs. Rapamycin (Rapa), *p*=0.0047; control vs. Y-27632, *p*=0.02. CCL5 vs. CCL5+Maraviroc, *p*=0.0173; CCL5 vs. CCL5+Rapamycin, *p*=0.0079; CCL5 vs. CCL5+Y-27632, *p*=0.0159.). (**C**: control vs. Y-27632, *p*=0.0033, by *t*-test). **D** The activation of p70s6k/mTOR, NRG-1, FAK, and Erk signaling proteins in neurons after cotreatment with CCL5 (250 pg/ml) and CCR5 inhibitor-Maraviroc, Y27632 or Rapamycin (1 µM) in western blot analysis. FGF was taken as positive control. Quantifcation data were in **E-H**. **E** The quantifcation of p70s6k activation. (Control vs. Maraviroc, *p*=0.0002; control vs. CCL5+Maraviroc, *p*=0.0002; control vs. Y-27632, *p*=0.0005; control vs. CCL5+Y-27632, *p*=0.0002.) **F** The quantifcation of mTOR activation. (Control vs. Maraviroc, *p*<0.0001; control vs. CCL5+Maraviroc, *p*<0.0001; control vs. Rapa, *p*<0.0001; control vs. CCL5+Rapa, *p*<0.0001; control vs. Y-27632, *p*<0.0001; control vs. CCL5+Y-27632, *p*<0.0001.). **G** The quantifcation of ERK1/2 activation. (Control vs. Maraviroc, *p*=0.0013; control vs. Rapa, *p*=0.0013; control vs. Y-27632, *p*=0.0030.) **H** The quantification of FAK activation. (Control vs. Maraviroc, *p* < 0.0109; control vs. CCL5 + Maraviroc, *p* = 0.0109; control vs. Rapa, *p* = 0.0191; control vs. CCL5+Rapa, *p*<0.0001; control vs. CCL5+Y-27632, *p*=0.0361.). Data was analyzed by unpaired *t*-test. NS: no signifcant diference

Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)

oligodendrocytes adjacent to the corpus callosum [[30](#page-20-35)] and CCL5 promotes oligodendrocytes precursor proliferation in an oligodendrocyte cell line [\[27](#page-20-36)]. We identifed more unmyelinated neuroflaments (SMI32 positive) in CCL5 defcient mice (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)K–L) and showed that CCL5 treatment reduced SMI32 positive signaling and increased Oligo2 signaling in CCL5 deficient mice after TBI (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)H, I). In particular, this is important for Oligo2 positive cells aligned under the cortex (Fig. [6H](#page-12-0)); these are Reelin positive - Cajal-Retzius cells, which function for axon guidance and migration, as well as brain structure development. In addition, NRG1/ErbB signaling also participates in oligodendrocyte survival and proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 3G). Altogether, our data suggest a

strong efect of CCL5 in in vivo oligodendrocyte related remyelination process after axon injury. This augments the possibility of using CCL5 as a therapeutic stratagem.

CCL5 treatment also infuences development-related signaling, such as CNTF, VEGF, GNRH, and Huntington's disease-related signaling molecules (Fig. [5H](#page-11-0)). A coreceptor-CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) increased in CCL5 treated tissue groups in our proteomic analysis but not CCR5 (Supplementary Data fle 5). Quantitative PCR and immunostaining showed the increased expression of CXCR4 in cortical tissue after CCL5 administration (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)I, L). CXCR4 has marked activity in cell proliferation and tissue regeneration [\[4](#page-19-7)]; CXCR4 also regulates neuronal migration and axonal pathway fnding [[50\]](#page-20-37), CXCR4 signaling defnes the initial trajectory of mammalian motor axons [\[34](#page-20-38)], especially the CXCR4/ CXCL12-mediated entrapment of axons at the injury site in optic nerve regeneration [\[21\]](#page-20-39). Synaptically released CCL5 triggers CXCR4 surface expression and enhances the cellular response to CXCL12 in a neuronal cell line study [\[16\]](#page-20-40). CXCR4 is expressed along the ventricular system, in the olfactory bulb, and within the subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus [\[52](#page-21-7)]; intranasal delivery of CCL5 through the rostral migratory stream (RMS) thus allows CCL5 to have a greater chance to bind to and activate CXCR4-related signaling.

The impact on cortical neurons and hippocampus neurons were very diferent after weight-drop induced brain injury from our study. First, we noticed a higher oxidative stress (Hydroxyprobe positive) in hippocampus neurons after mild TBI but lower levels in the cortex region in the same experiments; oxidative stress in cortex is less severe than in hippocampus (Oxidative stress in cortex related data is not been published; hippocampus related data was published in our previous paper [\[22](#page-20-24)]). CCL5 activation of the antioxidant GPX-1 in the acute phase (within 24 h) to reduce the oxidative stress is more important for hippocampal neuron and memory recovery. Second, cortex tissue received more structural deformation than hippocampus and also axon elastration with a weight-drop model. Therefore, axon repair and remyelination processes are important for cortical neurons. This repair system is a chronic process taking place around 14–28 dpi. Low dose (30 pg/g) multiple time administration of CCL5 after mTBI show stronger efects on axon and synapse regrowth processes and remyelination, especially in WT mice (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)H, J, K, Supplementary Fig. 7H–J). CCL5 also activates Reelin signaling to guide the regrowth process (Fig. [6H](#page-12-0), Supplementary Fig. 7H, L). Reduced spine number and synaptic protein—synaptophysin and GAP43 after injury increased by CCL5 administration (Fig. [6A](#page-12-0)– C, Supplementary Fig. 7G, K). CCL5 activates mTOR and Erk signaling to facilitate axon regrowth (growth cone formation) and remyelination process. Our fnding provides an important mechanism of CCL5 to the fnding of raised expression of CCL5 around the axonal transection side in mice after injury [[3\]](#page-19-2) and also the possible stratagem of using CCL5 and its antagonist—Maraviroc in TBI at diferent disease phase/timing.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we identifed that CCL5 shows two functions to promote neuronal restoration after brain injury. First, increasing CCL5 around the axonal transection site in the mouse brain after injury activates the mTOR signaling pathway to facilitate growth cone formation, axon regrowth, synapse formation, and cell migration; second, CCL5 activates NRG-1/ErbB signaling to promote oligodendrocyte remyelination and axon sprouting. Together, these two factors facilitate axonal regeneration after axonal injury to restore cortex neuron function.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-024-01083-w) [org/10.1186/s12929-024-01083-w.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-024-01083-w)

Acknowledgements

We thank Larimee Cortnik for her editorial assistance. We also acknowledge the mass spectrometry technical research services from Consortia of Key Technologies and Instrumentation Center, National Taiwan University.

Author contributions

MH H, CY C, and A Y performed the animal experiment, proteomic analysis, and cellular study. YJ T, T.B., Y W, YH C. B. H., and SY C participated in the study design and wrote the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 110-2320-B-038-055; MOST 111-2320-B-038-017), National Science and Technology Council grant NSTC 112-2314-B-038 -091 -MY3 and Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan to SY Chou. Ministry of Science and Technology grant MOST 110-2314-B-038-079 to TB, and Neuro TMULille Program 110-3800-022-400 to SY Chou and TB. Sunny Brain Tumor and Brain Disease Research and Development Fund to SY Chou and YH Chiang, The Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital research grants SKH-TMU-111-02 and SKH-TMU-112-01 to YJ T, SY Chou.

Availability of data and materials

Data are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The animal studies protocols were approved by the Use Committees of the Taipei Medical University with Protocol numbers: LAC-2021-0004; LAC-2021-0360.

Consent for publication

Data/manuscript publication was approved by all authors. This manuscript does not contain data from any individual person.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Author details

¹ Program in Medical Neuroscience, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University and National Health Research Institute, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ² Graduate Institute of Neural Regenerative Medicine, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wu-Xing Street, Taipei City 11031, Taiwan. ³ Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei 11160, Taiwan. ⁴ School of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City 24352, Taiwan.⁵ Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, LA 94720, USA. ⁶Neuroscience Research Center, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ⁷International PhD Program in Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ⁸ Graduate Institute of Biomedical Materials and Tissue Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ⁹ NeuroTMULille International Laboratory, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ¹⁰International PhD Program in Cell Therapy and Regeneration Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan. ¹¹ Center for Neuropsychiatric Research, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli County, Zhunan 350401, Taiwan. 12Department of Neurosurgery, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei 11031, Taiwan.
¹³Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, 11031 Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁴ Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospitals of Cleveland, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA. ¹⁵Scientist Emeritus, National Institutes of Health, Maryland 20892, USA. ¹⁶International Master Program in Medical Neuroscience, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan.

Received: 15 May 2024 Accepted: 4 September 2024

References

- 1. Ajoy R, Lo YC, Ho MH, Chen YY, Wang Y, Chen YH, Jing-Yuan C, Changou CA, Hsiung YC, Chen HM, Chang TH, Lee CY, Chiang YH, Chang WC, Hoffer B, Chou SY. CCL5 promotion of bioenergy metabolism is crucial for hippocampal synapse complex and memory formation. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26(11):6451–68.
- 2. Albert V, Subramanian A, Agrawal D, Bhoi SK, Pallavi P, Mukhopadhayay AK. RANTES levels in peripheral blood, CSF and contused brain tissue as a marker for outcome in traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):139.
- 3. Babcock AA, Kuziel WA, Rivest S, Owens T. Chemokine expression by glial cells directs leukocytes to sites of axonal injury in the CNS. J Neurosci. 2003;23(21):7922–30.
- 4. Bianchi ME, Mezzapelle R. The chemokine receptor CXCR4 in cell proliferation and tissue regeneration. Front Immunol. 2020;11:2109.
- 5. Bodnar CN, Roberts KN, Higgins EK, Bachstetter AD. A systematic review of closed Head Injury models of mild traumatic brain Injury in mice and rats. J Neurotrauma. 2019;36(11):1683–706.
- 6. Bouet V, Boulouard M, Toutain J, Divoux D, Bernaudin M, Schumann-Bard P, Freret T. The adhesive removal test: a sensitive method to assess sensorimotor defcits in mice. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(10):1560–4.
- 7. Bruggeman GF, Haitsma IK, Dirven CMF, Volovici V. Traumatic axonal injury (TAI): defnitions, pathophysiology and imaging—a narrative review. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2021;163(1):31–44.
- 8. Chou SY, Weng JY, Lai HL, Liao F, Sun SH, Tu PH, Dickson DW, Chern Y. Expanded-polyglutamine huntingtin protein suppresses the secretion and production of a chemokine (CCL5/RANTES) by astrocytes. J Neurosci. 2008;28(13):3277–90.
- 9. Cooke P, Janowitz H, Dougherty SE. Neuronal Redevelopment and the Regeneration of Neuromodulatory Axons in the adult mammalian Central Nervous System. Front Cell Neurosci. 2022;16:872501.
- 10. Don AS, Tsang CK, Kazdoba TM, D'Arcangelo G, Young W, Zheng XF. Targeting mTOR as a novel therapeutic strategy for traumatic CNS injuries. Drug Discov Today. 2012;17(15–16):861–8.
- 11. Dubal DB, Wise PM. Estrogen and neuroprotection: from clinical observations to molecular mechanisms. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2002;4(2):149–61.
- 12. Duncan KA. Estrogen formation and inactivation following TBI: what we know and where we could go. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020;11:345.
- 13. Egawa N, Lok J, Washida K, Arai K. Mechanisms of axonal damage and repair after Central Nervous System Injury. Transl Stroke Res. 2017;8(1):14–21.
- 14. Fang LP, Bai X. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells: the multitaskers in the brain. Pfugers Arch. 2023;475(9):1035–44.
- 15. Filbin MT. Myelin-associated inhibitors of axonal regeneration in the adult mammalian CNS. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4(9):703–13.
- 16. Franciszkiewicz K, Boutet M, Gauthier L, Vergnon I, Peeters K, Duc O, Besse B, de Saint Basile G, Chouaib S, Mami-Chouaib F. Synaptic release of CCL5 storage vesicles triggers CXCR4 surface expression promoting CTL migration in response to CXCL12. J Immunol. 2014;193(10):4952–61.
- 17. Friedman-Levi Y, Liraz-Zaltsman S, Shemesh C, Rosenblatt K, Kesner EL, Gincberg G, Carmichael ST, Silva AJ, Shohami E. Pharmacological blockers of CCR5 and CXCR4 improve recovery after traumatic brain injury. Exp Neurol. 2021;338:113604.
- 18. Gardner RC, Byers AL, Barnes DE, Li Y, Boscardin J, Yafe K. Mild TBI and risk of Parkinson disease: a chronic efects of Neurotrauma Consortium Study. Neurology. 2018;90(20):e1771–9.
- 19. Goldstein LE, Fisher AM, Tagge CA, Zhang XL, Velisek L, Sullivan JA, Upreti C, Kracht JM, Ericsson M, Wojnarowicz MW, Goletiani CJ, Maglakelidze GM, Casey N, Moncaster JA, Minaeva O, Moir RD, Nowinski CJ, Stern RA, Cantu RC, Geiling J, Blusztajn JK, Wolozin BL, Ikezu T, Stein TD, Budson AE, Kowall NW, Chargin D, Sharon A, Saman S, Hall GF, Moss WC, Cleveland RO, Tanzi RE, Stanton PK, McKee AC. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy in blast-exposed military veterans and a blast neurotrauma mouse model. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4(134):134ra160.
- 20. Gyoneva S, Ransohoff RM. Inflammatory reaction after traumatic brain injury: therapeutic potential of targeting cell-cell communication by chemokines. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2015;36(7):471–80.
- 21. Hilla AM, Baehr A, Leibinger M, Andreadaki A, Fischer D. CXCR4/CXCL12mediated entrapment of axons at the injury site compromises optic nerve regeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118(21), 2021.
- 22. Ho MH, Yen CH, Hsieh TH, Kao TJ, Chiu JY, Chiang YH, Hoffer BJ, Chang WC, Chou SY. CCL5 via GPX1 activation protects hippocampal memory function after mild traumatic brain injury. Redox Biol. 2021;46:102067.
- 23. Hyder AA, Wunderlich CA, Puvanachandra P, Gururaj G, Kobusingye OC. The impact of traumatic brain injuries: a global perspective. NeuroRehabilitation. 2007;22(5):341–53.
- 24. Ignatov A, Robert J, Gregory-Evans C, Schaller HC. RANTES stimulates Ca2+mobilization and inositol trisphosphate (IP3) formation in cells transfected with G protein-coupled receptor 75. Br J Pharmacol. 2006;149(5):490–7.
- 25. Johnson VE, Stewart W, Smith DH. Widespread tau and amyloid-beta pathology many years after a single traumatic brain injury in humans. Brain Pathol. 2012;22(2):142–9.
- 26. Joy MT, Ben Assayag E, Shabashov-Stone D, Liraz-Zaltsman S, Mazzitelli J, Arenas M, Abduljawad N, Kliper E, Korczyn AD, Thareja NS, Kesner EL, Zhou M, Huang S, Silva TK, Katz N, Bornstein NM, Silva AJ, Shohami E, Carmichael ST. CCR5 is a therapeutic target for recovery after stroke and traumatic brain Injury. Cell 176(5):1143–57 e1113, 2019.
- 27. Kadi L, Selvaraju R, de Lys P, Proudfoot AE, Wells TN, Boschert U. Differential efects of chemokines on oligodendrocyte precursor proliferation and myelin formation in vitro. J Neuroimmunol. 2006;174(1–2):133–46.
- 28. Kester MI, van der Flier WM, Visser A, Blankenstein MA, Scheltens P, Oudejans CB. Decreased mRNA expression of CCL5 [RANTES] in Alzheimer's disease blood samples. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2012;50(1):61–5.
- 29. Koelsch A, Feng Y, Fink DJ, Mata M. Transgene-mediated GDNF expression enhances synaptic connectivity and GABA transmission to improve functional outcome after spinal cord contusion. J Neurochem. 2010;113(1):143–52.
- 30. Lanfranco MF, Mocchetti I, Burns MP, Villapol S. Glial- and neuronalspecifc expression of CCL5 mRNA in the rat brain. Front Neuroanat. 2017;11:137.
- 31. Langlois JA, Rutland-Brown W, Wald MM. The epidemiology and impact of traumatic brain injury: a brief overview. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2006;21(5):375–8.
- 32. Lee JK, Schuchman EH, Jin HK, Bae JS. Soluble CCL5 derived from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and activated by amyloid beta ameliorates Alzheimer's disease in mice by recruiting bone marrowinduced microglia immune responses. Stem Cells. 2012;30(7):1544–55.
- 33. Lee Y, Morrison BM, Li Y, Lengacher S, Farah MH, Hoffman PN, Liu Y, Tsingalia A, Jin L, Zhang PW, Pellerin L, Magistretti PJ, Rothstein JD. Oligodendroglia metabolically support axons and contribute to neurodegeneration. Nature. 2012;487(7408):443–8.
- 34. Lieberam I, Agalliu D, Nagasawa T, Ericson J, Jessell TM. A Cxcl12-CXCR4 chemokine signaling pathway defnes the initial trajectory of mammalian motor axons. Neuron. 2005;47(5):667–79.
- 35. Liu K, Tedeschi A, Park KK, He Z. Neuronal intrinsic mechanisms of axon regeneration. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2011;34:131–52.
- 36. Liu XL, Sun DD, Zheng MT, Li XT, Niu HH, Zhang L, Zhou ZW, Rong HT, Wang Y, Wang JW, Yang GL, Liu X, Chen FL, Zhou Y, Zhang S, Zhang JN. Maraviroc promotes recovery from traumatic brain injury in mice by suppression of neuroinfammation and activation of neurotoxic reactive astrocytes. Neural Regen Res. 2023;18(1):141–9.
- 37. Lucke-Wold BP, Turner RC, Logsdon AF, Bailes JE, Huber JD, Rosen CL. Linking traumatic brain injury to chronic traumatic encephalopathy: identifcation of potential mechanisms leading to neurofbrillary tangle development. J Neurotrauma. 2014;31(13):1129–38.
- 38. Luo L. Rho GTPases in neuronal morphogenesis. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2000;1(3):173–80.
- 39. Luong TN, Carlisle HJ, Southwell A, Patterson PH. Assessment of motor balance and coordination in mice using the balance beam. J Vis Exp (49), 2011.
- 40. Mouzon B, Chaytow H, Crynen G, Bachmeier C, Stewart J, Mullan M, Stewart W, Crawford F. Repetitive mild traumatic brain injury in a mouse model produces learning and memory deficits accompanied by histological changes. J Neurotrauma. 2012;29(18):2761–73.
- 41. Moye LS, Novack ML, Tipton AF, Krishnan H, Pandey SC, Pradhan AA. The development of a mouse model of mTBI-induced post-traumatic migraine, and identification of the delta opioid receptor as a novel therapeutic target. Cephalalgia. 2019;39(1):77–90.
- 42. Mychasiuk R, Farran A, Angoa-Perez M, Briggs D, Kuhn D, Esser M. J. A novel model of mild traumatic brain injury for juvenile rats. J Vis Exp (94), 2014.
- 43. Nudo RJ. Recovery after brain injury: mechanisms and principles. Front Hum Neurosci. 2013;7:887.
- 44. Omalu B, Bailes J, Hamilton RL, Kamboh MI, Hammers J, Case M, Fitzsimmons R. Emerging histomorphologic phenotypes of chronic traumatic encephalopathy in American athletes. Neurosurgery 69(1):173–83; discussion 183, 2011.
- 45. Park KK, Liu K, Hu Y, Smith PD, Wang C, Cai B, Xu B, Connolly L, Kramvis I, Sahin M, He Z. Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. Science. 2008;322(5903):963–6.
- 46. Poplawski GHD, Kawaguchi R, Van Niekerk E, Lu P, Mehta N, Canete P, Lie R, Dragatsis I, Meves JM, Zheng B, Coppola G. And Tuszynski M.H. Injured adult neurons regress to an embryonic transcriptional growth state. Nature. 2020;581(7806):77–82.
- 47. Raghava N, Das BC, Ray SK. Neuroprotective effects of estrogen in CNS injuries: insights from animal models. Neurosci Neuroecon. 2017;6:15–29.
- 48. Schaffert J, LoBue C, White CL, Chiang HS, Didehbani N, Lacritz L, Rossetti H, Dieppa M, Hart J, Cullum CM. Traumatic brain injury history is associated with an earlier age of dementia onset in autopsy-confrmed Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychology. 2018;32(4):410–6.
- 49. Simpson IA, Carruthers A, Vannucci SJ. Supply and demand in cerebral energy metabolism: the role of nutrient transporters. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2007;27(11):1766–91.
- 50. Stumm R, Hollt V. CXC chemokine receptor 4 regulates neuronal migration and axonal pathfinding in the developing nervous system: implications for neuronal regeneration in the adult brain. J Mol Endocrinol. 2007;38(3):377–82.
- 51. Tokami H, Ago T, Sugimori H, Kuroda J, Awano H, Suzuki K, Kiyohara Y, Kamouchi M, Kitazono T, Investigators R. RANTES has a potential to play a neuroprotective role in an autocrine/paracrine manner after ischemic stroke. Brain Res. 2013;1517:122–32.
- 52. Tran PB, Banisadr G, Ren D, Chenn A, Miller RJ. Chemokine receptor expression by neural progenitor cells in neurogenic regions of mouse brain. J Comp Neurol. 2007;500(6):1007–33.
- 53. Tripathy D, Thirumangalakudi L, Grammas P. RANTES upregulation in the Alzheimer's disease brain: a possible neuroprotective role. Neurobiol Aging. 2010;31(1):8–16.
- 54. Tucker LB, Fu AH, McCabe JT. Performance of male and female C57BL/6J mice on motor and cognitive tasks commonly used in Pre -clinical trau ‑ matic brain Injury Research. J Neurotrauma. 2016;33(9):880–94.
- 55. Wang J, Hou Y, Zhang L, Liu M, Zhao J, Zhang Z, Ma Y, Hou W. Estrogen attenuates traumatic Brain Injury by inhibiting the activation of Microglia and astrocyte -mediated neuroinfammatory responses. Mol Neurobiol. 2021;58(3):1052–61.
- 56. Xie L, Yin Y, Benowitz L. Chemokine CCL5 promotes robust optic nerve regeneration and mediates many of the efects of CNTF gene therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021; 118(9).
- 57. Yagura K, Ohtaki H, Tsumuraya T, Sato A, Miyamoto K, Kawada N, Suzuki K, Nakamura M, Kanzaki K, Dohi K, Izumizaki M, Hiraizumi Y, Honda K. The enhancement of CCL2 and CCL5 by human bone marrow -derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells might contribute to infammatory suppression and axonal extension after spinal cord injury. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(3):e0230080.
- 58. Yan J, Xu W, Lenahan C, Huang L, Wen J, Li G, Hu X, Zheng W, Zhang JH, Tang J. CCR5 activation promotes NLRP1 -Dependent neuronal pyroptosis via CCR5/PKA/CREB pathway after Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Stroke. 2021;52(12):4021–32.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub ‑ lished maps and institutional affiliations.